Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/673,409	THOMPSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arlen Soderquist	1797
Il Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Arlen Soderquist.	(3)	
(2) Mark J. Nuell.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 April 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 16,22-23,25 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed changes to claims 22-23. Applicant agreed to the changes and requested changes be made to claim 16 and that a new claim be added. Authorization was given to make the changes by examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)